

NOTE

**NON-REPETITIVE SEQUENCES AND GRAY CODE**

Helmut PRODINGER

*Institut für Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik, Technische Universität Wien, Gußhausstraße  
27-29, A-1040 Wien, Austria*

Received 3 September 1981

Revised 5 February 1982

A sequence of 0's and 1's is constructed which is related to the Gray code, and which has only subwords  $ww$  of length not greater than ten.

**1. Introduction**

Consider a sequence  $\omega = b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots$ , where  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . A method to construct from this given sequence a new sequence  $a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$  was proposed by Toeplitz (see Jacobs and Keane [2]):

The sequence  $b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots$  is written down, leaving a gap between every two symbols:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & \dots \\ b_1 & & b_2 & & b_3 & & b_4 & \dots \end{array}$$

Now the sequence  $b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots$  is filled into the gaps, leaving free every second gap. This last step is repeated *ad infinitum*, yielding the new sequence

$$T(\omega) = b_1 b_1 b_2 b_1 b_3 b_2 b_4 b_1 b_5 b_3 b_6 b_2 b_7 b_4 b_8 b_1 b_9 \dots$$

In [5] it is shown that  $T(010101\dots)$  is a sequence of *bounded repetition*, i.e. only subwords  $ww$  of bounded length can occur. In particular, only subwords  $ww$  where the length of  $w$  is 1, 3 or 5 occur.

The sequence  $010101\dots$  is in some sense the *base* of the *binary number system*: If  $(n)_2 = s_m \dots s_1 s_0$ , the digits  $s_k$  form the sequence  $0^{2^k} 1^{2^k} 0^{2^k} 1^{2^k} \dots$  if  $n$  runs through the nonnegative integers.

There is another way to encode the integers by 0 and 1, the *Gray code*. A Gray code is an encoding of the integers as sequences of bits with the property that representations of adjacent integers differ in exactly one binary position. See [1, 4]. We restrict our considerations to the *standard Gray* (or *binary reflected*) code: If  $(n)_{GR} = u_m \dots u_1 u_0$  denotes the Gray code representation of  $n$ , then the

digits  $u_k$  form the sequence  $0^{2^k} 1^{2^{k+1}} 0^{2^{k+1}} 1^{2^{k+1}} \dots$  if  $n$  runs through the nonnegative integers. So one can consider the sequence  $011001100\dots$  as the basic sequence for the Gray code. In this note we are going to prove:

**Theorem 1.** *The sequence  $a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots = 00101100\dots$  obtained from the basic sequence of the Gray code by means of the construction of Toeplitz is of bounded repetition. In particular, only subwords  $ww$  where the length of  $w$  is 1, 2, 3 or 5 occur.*

As an example  $a_{34} \dots a_{38} = a_{39} \dots a_{43} = 01011$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $p(n)$  be defined by  $p(n) = 1$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $p(n) = 0$  otherwise. Equivalently,

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}),$$

or, if  $(n)_2 = u_m \dots u_1 u_0$ , then  $p(n) \equiv u_0 + u_1 \pmod{2}$ . It is not hard to establish the following fact: If  $(n)_2 = w10^l$  and  $w$  is the binary representation of  $m$ , then  $a_n = p(m)$ . The last two digits of  $w = w'\sigma\tau$  determine  $a_n: a_n \equiv \sigma + \tau \pmod{2}$ .

Since  $a_2 a_4 a_6 \dots = a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$ , it is clear that if the subword  $ww$  with  $|w| = n$  is impossible, then the subword  $ww$  with  $|w| = 2n$  is also impossible. So we prove that the subword  $ww$  is impossible for the length  $n$  of  $w$ :

(1)  $n = 4$ ; (2)  $n = 6, 10$ ; (3)  $n = 7$ ; (4)  $n = 9$ ; (5)  $n = 11$ ; (6)  $n \geq 13$ ,  $n$  odd.

(1) Assume  $a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+4} = a_{k+5} \dots a_{k+8}$  and let  $i \in \{k+1, k+2\}$  be odd. Then  $a_{i+4} = a_i$ , which is impossible.

(2) Assume  $a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+6} = a_{k+7} \dots a_{k+12}$  and let  $i \in \{k+1, k+2\}$  be odd. Then  $a_{i+6} = a_i$  and  $a_{i+8} = a_{i+2}$ ; it is impossible that both equalities are fulfilled. For  $n = 10$  the argument is similar.

(3) If  $a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+7} = a_{k+8} \dots a_{k+14}$  and  $k = 16m + i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 15$ , a careful check of all 16 possibilities for  $i$  gives the proof.

(4) Similar as in (3), a check of all 32 possibilities for  $i$  modulo 32 gives the proof.

(5) The same argument as in (4) can be applied.

(6) Assume  $a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+n} = a_{k+n+1} \dots a_{k+2n+1}$  and let  $i \in \{k+1, k+2, k+3, k+4\}$  be the number with  $i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $n+i$  is odd, we find that  $a_i a_{i+2} a_{i+4} a_{i+6} a_{i+8}$  is either  $abbaa$  or  $aa\delta ba$  with  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ . In both cases is  $a_i = a_{i+8}$ , which is impossible.

## 3. Further results

Let  $n_1(k)$  be the number of 1's in  $a_1 \dots a_k$ . For the sequence  $T(0101\dots)$  the corresponding numbers have interesting properties according to the binary representation of  $k$  [5]. The same is true for the numbers  $n_1(k)$ .

First we give an estimate for the numbers  $n_1(k)$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $n_1(k) = \frac{1}{2}k + O(\log k)$ .

**Proof.** The sequence  $b_1b_2b_3 \dots = 01100 \dots$  has the property that the number of ones in the first  $k$  places is  $\frac{1}{2}k + O(1)$ . The first  $k$  places of  $a_1a_2a_3 \dots$  only involve terms from  $O(\log k)$  of the interleaved sequences, and each interleaved sequence can only contribute  $O(1)$  to the error term.

**Theorem 3.**

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(k) &= \sum_{i \geq 3} (\lfloor k/2^i + \frac{5}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 2} \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor + \sum_{i \geq 3} (\lfloor k/2^i + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor - \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Apply elementary counting arguments.

**Theorem 4.**  $n_1(k) = \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{3}{4} \rfloor - B_2(1, k) + B_2(11, k) + B_2(101, k) + B_2(110, k)$  where  $B_2(w, k)$  denotes the number of occurrences of  $w$  as a subword of the binary representation of  $k$  with the convention that  $w$  is completed on the boundaries by zeroes (which is in this case important for  $w = 110$ ).

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= -\lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor + \sum_{i \geq 1} \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor \\ &\quad + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor + \sum_{i \geq 1} (\lfloor k/2^i + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor - \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} (\lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor - \lfloor k/2^i + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

It is known [3, 6, 7] that the first sum equals  $k - B_2(1, k) + B_2(11, k)$ , that the second sum equals  $B_2(101, k)$  and that the third sum equals  $B_2(110, k)$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} &k - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{3}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k + \frac{1}{8} \rfloor \\ &= k - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{1}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{4}k + \frac{3}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}k \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** The Toeplitz construction scheme is, in some sense, a *binary scheme*. One could consider a Gray code scheme:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & \dots \\ b_1 & & & b_2 & b_3 & & & b_4 & b_5 & & \\ & b_1 & & & & & b_2 & & & b_3 & \\ & & b_1 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & b_1 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Each of the interleaved sequences acts as follows: take one, skip two, take two, skip two, take two, etc.

**References**

- [1] P. Flajolet and L. Ramshaw, A note on Gray code and odd-even merge, *SIAM J. Comput.* 9 (1980) 142–158.
- [2] K. Jacobs and M. Keane, 0–1-Sequences of Toeplitz type, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete* 13 (1969) 123–131.
- [3] P. Kirschenhofer, Subblock occurrences in the  $q$ -ary representation of  $n$ , preprint, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* (1983), to appear.
- [4] D.E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 2, 2nd ed. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980).
- [5] H. Prodinger and F.J. Urbanek, Infinite 0–1-sequences without long adjacent identical blocks, *Discr. Math.* 28 (1979) 277–289.
- [6] H. Prodinger, Generalizing the sum of digits function, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* 3 (1982) 35–42.
- [7] H. Prodinger, Subblock occurrences in representations of integers, preprint, TU Wien (1981).