

WORDS CODING SET PARTITIONS

KAMILLA OLIVER AND HELMUT PRODINGER

ABSTRACT. The words in the title are characterized by the fact that a smaller number must (first) appear earlier than a larger number, and that all numbers $1, \dots, k$ are present (for some k). Under the assumption that the letters are drawn from a geometric distribution, the probability that a word of length n enjoys these properties is determined, both exactly and asymptotically.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a set partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks, a natural coding is as follows: Element 1 is in block 1, and the smallest number not in block 1 is in block 2, and the smallest number not in blocks 1 or 2, is in block 3, etc. In this way, to every element i a number a_i is attached, namely the block in which it lies. Writing these numbers as a word $a_1 \dots a_n$, the set partition is coded in a natural way. One particular reference for this is [3].

Forgetting now about set partitions, we are talking about words where the letters are the positive integers, and, assuming that k is the largest letter that appears in the word, then the letters $1, \dots, k - 1$ must also appear, and the word has exactly k (strict) left-to-right maxima, which is the same as saying that, if $i < j$, the first appearance of i is earlier than the first appearance of j . As one referee has kindly pointed out, such words are known as *restricted growth strings* in the literature [6].

Now we assign the (geometric) probability pq^{i-1} (where $p + q = 1$) to the letter i and consider P_n , the probability that a random word of length n has the *restricted growth* property. We are thus in the context of *combinatorics of geometrically distributed words*, a series of papers started with [4] and continued by the second writer as well as many others; a recent contribution is the paper [5].

The present question is not only appealing from a combinatorial point of view (easy to formulate but not trivial to solve) but the approach used here (with the parameter q) leads to “richer” results, and often the instance $q = 1$ corresponds to the classical combinatorial instance, especially, when the parameter is of the *order statistics* type.

We will prove the following theorems.

Date: February 4, 2011.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa under grant number 2053748.

Theorem 1. *The probability P_n that a random word of length n has the restricted growth property is (exactly) given by*

$$P_n = p \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} q^j (p; q)_j.$$

Here we use the (standard) notation $(x; q)_m = (1-x)(1-xq)\dots(1-xq^{m-1})$. We will also need the limit of it as $m \rightarrow \infty$, denoted by $(x; q)_\infty$, as well as the Gaussian q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}.$$

We need the following standard formulæ:

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k = (x; q)_N,$$

$$\frac{1}{(w; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{w^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

All this can be found in [1].

The asymptotic evaluation leads to our second theorem.

Theorem 2. *The probability that a random word of length n has the restricted growth property is asymptotically given by*

$$P_n \sim \frac{(p; q)_\infty}{L(q; q)_\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right) n^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q}} + n^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q}} \Phi(\log_Q n),$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is a 1-periodic function with mean zero. The abbreviations $Q = 1/q$ and $L = \log Q$ are used. The function is given by its Fourier series

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{(p; q)_\infty}{L(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{k \neq 0} \Gamma\left(\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi i k}{L}\right) e^{-2\pi i k x}.$$

In the symmetric case $p = q$, this looks better:

$$\frac{1}{L} n^{-1} + n^{-1} \Phi(\log_2 n).$$

2. ANALYSIS

We use the natural decomposition

$$1\{\leq 1\}^* 2\{\leq 2\}^* 3\{\leq 3\}^* \dots k\{\leq k\}^*,$$

which translates into

$$\frac{zp}{1-(1-q)z} \frac{zpq}{1-(1-q^2)z} \dots \frac{zpq^{k-1}}{1-(1-q^k)z} = z^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1-(1-q^j)z}.$$

This has to be summed over all k , to get the generating function of the sought probabilities (P_n is the coefficient of z^n in this series):

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} z^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - (1 - q^j)z}.$$

Substituting $z = w/(w - 1)$, this becomes

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} w^k (-1)^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - wq^j} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{w^k (-1)^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k}.$$

Reading off coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= [z^n] \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{w^k (-1)^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}} \frac{w^k (-1)^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k} && \text{by Cauchy's integral formula} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{dw(1-w)^{n-1}}{w^{n+1}} \frac{w^k (-1)^{n-k} p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n [w^{n-k}] (1-w)^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-k} p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} (-1)^j [w^{n-k-j}] \frac{(-1)^{n-k} p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(wq; q)_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} (-1)^{n-k-j} p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n-j-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q q^{n-k-j} && \begin{array}{l} \text{the known expansion} \\ \text{of the denominator} \end{array} \\ &= p \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} q^{n-j-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1} (-1)^k p^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n-j-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= p \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} q^{n-j-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} (p; q)_{n-j-1} && \begin{array}{l} \text{the sum is known} \\ \text{as Rothe's sum} \end{array} \\ &= p \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} q^j (-1)^j (p; q)_j. \end{aligned}$$

Is there a more direct way to prove this formula?

Here is an example for $n = 3$; the words enjoying the restricted growth property are 111, 112, 121, 122, 123, and they appear with probabilities $p^3, p^3q, p^3q, p^3q^2, p^3q^3$. And

$$p^3 + p^3q + p^3q + p^3q^2 + p^3q^3 = p \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{2}{j} q^j (-1)^j (p; q)_j = p(1 - 2q(1-p) + q^2(1-p)(1-pq)).$$

For the asymptotic evaluation, we use the following integral representation as in [2]:

$$p \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} q^j (p; q)_j = \frac{-p}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} q^z (p; q)_z \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(n-z)} dz.$$

Here, \mathcal{C} enclosed the poles $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and no others, and the interpretation of $(p; q)_z$ is

$$(p; q)_z = \frac{(p; q)_{\infty}}{(pq^z; q)_{\infty}}.$$

For the readers' convenience we note that $n! = \Gamma(n+1)$, and thus

$$\frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(n-z)} = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{(n-z-1)(n-z-2)\cdots(-z)} = \frac{(-1)^n(n-1)!}{z(z-1)\cdots(z+1-n)}.$$

Furthermore, the residue of this expression at $z = k$ is

$$\frac{(-1)^n(n-1)!}{k(k-1)\cdots 1 \cdot (-1)\cdots(k+1-n)} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!}.$$

To get asymptotics, we extend the contour of integration and have to consider the residues at the extra poles of

$$\frac{pq^z (p; q)_{\infty}}{(1-pq^z)(pq^{z+1}; q)_{\infty}} \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(n-z)}.$$

The poles with largest real part leading to the dominant contribution are at

$$z = -\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $k = 0$ we get the interesting term, and the others define a small fluctuation around this value. We find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{pq^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}} (p; q)_{\infty}}{L(pq^{1-\frac{\log p}{\log q} - \frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}}; q)_{\infty}} \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{L})}{\Gamma(n + \frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{L})} &= \frac{(p; q)_{\infty}}{L(q^{1-\frac{2\pi ik}{L}}; q)_{\infty}} \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{L})}{\Gamma(n + \frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{L})} \\ &\sim \frac{(p; q)_{\infty} \Gamma(\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{L})}{L(q; q)_{\infty}} n^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q} - \frac{2\pi ik}{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

The term $k = 0$ leads to

$$\frac{(p; q)_{\infty} \Gamma(\frac{\log p}{\log q})}{L(q; q)_{\infty}} n^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q}}$$

and the other ones to

$$n^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q}} \Phi(\log_Q n),$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is a 1-periodic function with mean zero. Note that

$$pq^{-\frac{\log p}{\log q} + \frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}} = 1,$$

which was used in these computations.

Acknowledgment. Thanks are due to a referee who spotted a fundamental error in an earlier version.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special Functions*, volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [2] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. Mellin transforms and asymptotics: Finite differences and Rice's integrals. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 144:101–124, 1995.
- [3] A. Knopfmacher, T. Mansour, and S. Wagner. Records in set partitions. *Electronic J. of Combinatorics*, 17:R109, 2010.
- [4] H. Prodinger. Combinatorics of geometrically distributed random variables: Left-to-right maxima. *Discrete Mathematics*, 153:253–270, 1996.
- [5] H. Prodinger. Records in geometrically distributed words: sum of positions. *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, 2:234–240, 2008.
- [6] D. Stanton and D. White. *Constructive Combinatorics*. Springer, 1986.

KAMILLA OLIVER, 91052 ERLANGEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: olikamilla@gmail.com

HELMUT PRODINGER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, STELLENBOSCH UNIVERSITY,
7602 STELLENBOSCH, SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: hprodinger@sun.ac.za