

Helmut Prodinger\*

# Some combinatorial matrices and their LU-decomposition

<https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0007>

Received December 30, 2019; accepted February 10, 2020

**Abstract:** Three combinatorial matrices were considered and their LU-decompositions were found. This is typically done by (creative) guessing, and the proofs are more or less routine calculations.

**Keywords:** Combinatorial matrix, LU-decomposition, Lehmer's matrix, Fibonacci polynomials

**MSC:** 05A19; 15B36

## 1 Introduction

Combinatorial matrices often have beautiful LU-decompositions, which leads also to easy determinant evaluations. It has become a habit of this author to try this decomposition whenever he sees a new such matrix.

The present paper contains three independent ones collected over the last one or two years.

## 2 A matrix from polynomials with bounded roots

In [11] Kirschenhofer and Thuswaldner evaluated the determinant

$$D_s = \det \left( \frac{1}{(2l)^2 - t^2(2i-1)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, l \leq s}$$

for  $t = 1$ . Consider the matrix  $M$  with entries  $1/((2l)^2 - t^2(2i-1)^2)$  where  $s$  might be a positive integer or infinity. In [11], the transposed matrix was considered, but that is immaterial when it comes to the determinant; we will treat the transposed matrix as well, but the results are slightly uglier.

The aim is to provide a completely elementary evaluation of this determinant which relies on the LU-decomposition  $LU = M$ , which is obtained by guessing. The additional parameter  $t$  helps with guessing and makes the result even more general. We found these results:

$$L_{i,j} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2j-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2)}{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2i-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2)} \frac{(i+j-2)!}{(i-j)!(2j-2)!},$$

$$U_{j,l} = \frac{t^{2j-2} (-1)^j 16^{j-1} (2j-2)!}{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2l)^2)} \frac{(j+l-1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} ((2j-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2)} \frac{1}{l(l-j)!}.$$

Note that

$$\prod_{k=1}^j ((2i-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2) = (-1)^j 4^j \frac{\Gamma(j+1-t(i-\frac{1}{2}))}{\Gamma(1-t(i-\frac{1}{2}))} \frac{\Gamma(j+1+t(i-\frac{1}{2}))}{\Gamma(1+t(i-\frac{1}{2}))}$$

\*Corresponding Author: **Helmut Prodinger**: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Mathematics Division, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, 7602 Matieland, South Africa, E-mail: [hprodinger@sun.ac.za](mailto:hprodinger@sun.ac.za)

and

$$\prod_{k=1}^j ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2l)^2) = 4^j t^{2j} \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l}{t})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{l}{t})} \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{l}{t})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l}{t})};$$

using these formulæ,  $L_{i,j}$  resp.  $U_{j,l}$  can be written in terms of Gamma functions.

The proof that indeed  $\sum_j L_{i,j} U_{j,l} = M_{i,l}$  is within the reach of computer algebra systems (Zeilberger's algorithm). An old version of Maple (without extra packages) provides this summation.

As a bonus, we also state the inverses matrices:

$$L_{i,j}^{-1} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{i-1} ((2j-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2)}{\prod_{k=1}^{i-1} ((2i-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2)} \frac{(-1)^{i+j} (2i-2)! (2j-1)!}{(i+j-1)! (i-j)!}$$

and

$$U_{j,l}^{-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2j)^2) \prod_{k=1}^l ((2l-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2) \frac{(-1)^j 2j^2}{t^{2l-2} (2l-2)! (j+l)! (l-j)! 16^{l-1}};$$

the necessary proofs are again automatic.

Consequently the determinant is

$$D_s = \prod_{j=1}^s U_{j,j}.$$

For  $t = 1$ , this may be simplified:

$$\begin{aligned} D_s &= \frac{1}{s!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{(-1)^j 16^{j-1} (2j-2)! (2j-1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^j (2k-2j-1)(2k+2j-1) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (2j-2k-1)(2j+2k-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{s!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{16^{j-1} (2j-1)!^2}{(4j-1)!! (4j-3)!!} = \frac{4^s}{s!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{32^{j-1} (2j-1)!^4}{(4j-1)! (4j-2)!} \\ &= \frac{4^s 16^{s(s-1)}}{s!^2} \bigg/ \prod_{j=1}^s \binom{4j}{2j} \binom{4j-2}{2j-1} = \frac{4^s 16^{s(s-1)}}{s!^2} \bigg/ \prod_{j=1}^{2s} \binom{2j}{j} \\ &= \frac{16^{s(s-1)}}{s!^2} \bigg/ \prod_{j=0}^{2s-1} \binom{2j+1}{j}; \end{aligned}$$

the last expression was given in [11]. We used the notation  $(2n-1)!! = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)$ .

Now we briefly mention the equivalent results for the transposed matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{i,j} &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2j)^2)}{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2i)^2)} \frac{(i+j-1)! j!}{(i-j)! (2j-1)! i!}, \\ U_{j,l} &= \frac{t^{2j-2} (-1)^j 16^{j-1} (2j-1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^j ((2l-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2j)^2)} \frac{(j+l-2)!}{j!(l-j)!}, \\ L_{i,j}^{-1} &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{i-1} ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2j)^2)}{\prod_{k=1}^{i-1} ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2i)^2)} \frac{(-1)^{i+j} (2i)! j^2}{(i-j)! (i+j)! i^2}, \\ U_{j,l}^{-1} &= \prod_{k=1}^l ((2k-1)^2 t^2 - (2l)^2) \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} ((2j-1)^2 t^2 - (2k)^2) \frac{(2j-1)!! (-1)^j}{t^{2l-2} 16^{l-1} (2l-1)! (l+j-1)! (l-j)! (l-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Lehmer’s tridiagonal matrix

Ekhad and Zeilberger [7] have unearthed Lehmer’s [12] tridiagonal  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = M(n)$  with entries (indexed by  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ )

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ z^{1/2}q^{(i-1)/2} & \text{if } i = j - 1, \\ z^{1/2}q^{(i-2)/2} & \text{if } i = j + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note the similarity to Schur’s determinant

$$\text{Schur}(x) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & xq^{1+m} & & & \dots \\ -1 & 1 & xq^{2+m} & & \dots \\ & -1 & 1 & xq^{3+m} & \dots \\ & & -1 & 1 & xq^{4+m} & \dots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}$$

that was used to great success in [9]. This success was based on the two recursions

$$\text{Schur}(x) = \text{Schur}(xq) + xq^{1+m} \text{Schur}(xq^2)$$

and, with

$$\text{Schur}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n,$$

by

$$a_n = q^n a_n + q^{1+m} q^{2n-2} a_{n-1},$$

leading to

$$a_n = \frac{q^{n^2+mn}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)}.$$

Schur’s (and thus Lehmer’s) determinant plays an instrumental part in proving the celebrated Rogers-Ramanujan identities and generalizations.

Lehmer [12] has computed the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the determinant of the matrix  $M(n)$ . Ekhad and Zeilberger [7] have generalized this result by computing the determinant of the finite matrix  $M(n)$ . Furthermore, a lively account of how modern computer algebra leads to a solution was given. Most prominently, the celebrated  $q$ -Zeilberger algorithm [14] and creative guessing were used.

In this section, the determinant in question is obtained by computing the LU-decomposition  $LU = M$ . This is done with a computer, and the exact form of  $L$  and  $U$  is obtained by guessing. A proof that this is indeed the LU-decomposition is then a routine calculation. From it, the determinant in question is computed by multiplying the diagonal elements of the matrix  $U$ . By telescoping, the final result is then quite attractive, as already stated and proved by Ekhad and Zeilberger [7].

We use standard notation [2]:  $(x; q)_n = (1-x)(1-xq)\dots(1-xq^{n-1})$ , and the Gaussian  $q$ -binomial coefficients  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}$ .

#### 3.1 The LU-decomposition of $M$

Let

$$\lambda(j) := \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j/2} \begin{bmatrix} j-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} z^k.$$

It follows from the basic recursion of the Gaussian  $q$ -binomial coefficients [2] that

$$\lambda(j) = \lambda(j-1) - zq^{j-2}\lambda(j-2). \quad (1)$$

Then we have

$$U_{j,j} = \frac{\lambda(j)}{\lambda(j-1)}, \quad U_{j,j+1} = z^{1/2}q^{(j-1)/2},$$

and all other entries in the  $U$ -matrix are zero. Further,

$$L_{j,j} = 1, \quad L_{j+1,j} = z^{1/2}q^{(j-1)/2} \frac{\lambda(j-1)}{\lambda(j)},$$

and all other entries in the  $L$ -matrix are zero.

The typical element of the product  $(LU)_{i,j}$ , that is

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} L_{i,k} U_{k,j}$$

is almost always zero; the exceptions are as follows: If  $i = j$ , then we get

$$L_{j,j}U_{j,j} + L_{j,j-1}U_{j-1,j} = \frac{\lambda(j) + zq^{j-2}\lambda(j-2)}{\lambda(j-1)} = 1,$$

because of the above recursion (1). If  $i = j - 1$ , then we get

$$L_{j-1,j-1}U_{j-1,j} + L_{j-1,j-2}U_{j-2,j} = z^{1/2}q^{(j-2)/2},$$

and if  $i = j + 1$ , then we get

$$L_{j+1,j+1}U_{j+1,j} + L_{j+1,j}U_{j,j} = z^{1/2}q^{(j-1)/2} \frac{\lambda(j-1)}{\lambda(j)} \frac{\lambda(j)}{\lambda(j-1)} = z^{1/2}q^{(j-1)/2}.$$

This proves that indeed  $LU = M$ . Therefore for the determinant of the Lehmer matrix  $M$  we obtain the expression

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda(j)}{\lambda(j-1)} = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda(0)} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n/2} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} z^k.$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , leads to the old result by Lehmer for the determinant of the infinite matrix:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det(M(n)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} z^k}{(q; q)_k}.$$

#### Remarks.

1. For  $q = 1$ , Lehmer's determinant plays a role when enumerating lattice paths (Dyck paths) of bounded height, or planar trees of bounded height, see [6, 8, 10].

2. Recursions as in (1) have been studied in [3, 4, 13] and are linked to so-called Schur polynomials [15].

## 4 Matrices for Fibonacci polynomials

Cigler [5] introduced several matrices that have Fibonacci polynomials as determinants; we will only treat two of them as showcases.

The Fibonacci polynomials are

$$F_n(x) = \sum_h \binom{n-h}{h} x^{n-2h},$$

our answers will come out in terms of the related polynomials

$$f_n = \sum_h \binom{n+h}{2h} X^h$$

where we write  $X = x^2$  for simplicity. It is easy to check that

$$f_n = (X + 2)f_{n-1} - f_{n-2},$$

for instance by comparing coefficients.

The first matrix is

$$M = \left( \binom{i-1}{j} X + \binom{i+1}{j+1} \right)_{0 \leq i, j < n}$$

and we will determine its LU-decomposition  $M = LU$ .

We obtained

$$L_{i,j} = \frac{\binom{i+1}{j+1} \sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h} X^h + \binom{i}{j} \sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h-1} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h} X^h} = \binom{i}{j} + \binom{i}{j+1} \frac{f_j}{f_{j+1}}$$

and

$$U_{j,j} = \frac{\sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h} X^h} = \frac{f_{j+1}}{f_j},$$

$$U_{j,l} = (-1)^{j+l} \frac{\sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h-1} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h} X^h} = (-1)^{j+l} \left( \frac{f_{j+1}}{f_j} - 1 \right), \quad j < l.$$

For a proof, we do this computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j L_{i,j} U_{j,l} &= L_{i,l} U_{l,l} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} L_{i,j} U_{j,l} \\ &= \left[ \binom{i}{l} + \binom{i}{l+1} \frac{f_l}{f_{l+1}} \right] \frac{f_{l+1}}{f_l} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \left[ \binom{i}{j} + \binom{i}{j+1} \frac{f_j}{f_{j+1}} \right] (-1)^{j+l} \left( \frac{f_{j+1}}{f_j} - 1 \right) \\ &= \binom{i}{l} \frac{f_{l+1}}{f_l} + \binom{i}{l+1} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j} \frac{f_{j+1}}{f_j} (-1)^{j+l} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j+1} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} - \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j+1} \frac{f_j}{f_{j+1}} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &= \binom{i}{l+1} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(X+2)f_j - f_{j-1}}{f_j} (-1)^{j+l} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j+1} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} - \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j+1} \frac{f_j}{f_{j+1}} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &= \binom{i}{l+1} + (X+2) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} + \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j+1} (-1)^{j+l} - \sum_{0 \leq j < l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} \frac{f_{j-1}}{f_j} (-1)^{j+l} + \binom{i}{l} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} \frac{f_{j-1}}{f_j} (-1)^{j+l} \\ &= X \binom{i-1}{l} + \binom{i}{l+1} + \binom{i}{l} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \binom{i}{j} (-1)^{j+l} - (-1)^l \\ &= X \binom{i-1}{l} + \binom{i+1}{l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The determinant is then  $U_{0,0} U_{1,1} \dots U_{n-1,n-1}$ , and by telescoping

$$\sum_h \binom{n+h}{2h} X^h = \sum_h \binom{2n-h}{h} X^{2n-2h} = F_{2n}(x).$$

For completeness, we also factor the transposed matrix as  $LU = M^t$ :

$$L_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h-1} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h-1} X^h}, \quad \text{for } j < i,$$

$$L_{i,i} = 1,$$

and

$$U_{j,l} = \frac{\binom{l}{j} \sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h-1} X^h + \binom{l+1}{j+1} \sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+h}{2h} X^h}.$$

Now we move to the second matrix:

$$M = \left( \binom{i}{j} X + \binom{i+2}{j+1} \right)_{0 \leq i, j < n}.$$

We find

$$L_{i,j} = \frac{\binom{i+1}{j+1} \sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{i}{j} \sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+2+h}{2h+1} X^h}$$

and

$$U_{j,j} = \frac{\sum_h \binom{j+2+h}{2h+1} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h+1} X^h},$$

$$U_{j,j+1} = 1, \quad U_{j,l} = 0 \quad \text{for } l \geq j+2.$$

For a proof, we compute

$$\sum_j L_{i,j} U_{j,l} = \frac{\binom{i+1}{l+1} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{i}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h}$$

$$+ \frac{\binom{i+1}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{i}{l-1} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h}$$

and

$$\sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h \sum_j L_{i,j} U_{j,l} = \binom{i+2}{l+1} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h - \binom{i+1}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h$$

$$+ \binom{i}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h} X^h + \binom{i+1}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h+1} X^h$$

$$+ \binom{i+1}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h} X^h - \binom{i}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h} X^h$$

$$= \binom{i+2}{l+1} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{i}{l} \sum_h \binom{l+h}{2h-1} X^h$$

$$= \binom{i+2}{l+1} \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{i}{l} X \sum_h \binom{l+1+h}{2h+1} X^h$$

and therefore

$$\sum_j L_{i,j} U_{j,l} = \binom{i+2}{l+1} + \binom{i}{l} X,$$

as required. The determinant is then

$$\sum_h \binom{n+1+h}{2h+1} X^h = \sum_h \binom{n+1+h}{n-h} X^h = \sum_j \binom{2n+1-j}{j} X^{2n-2j} = X^{-1} F_{2n+1}(X^2).$$

For the transposed matrix  $LU = M^t$ , we find

$$L_{i,i-1} = \frac{\sum_h \binom{i+h}{2h+1} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{i+1+h}{2h+1} X^h},$$

$$L_{i,i} = 1, \quad L_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j < i - 1,$$

and

$$U_{j,l} = \frac{\binom{l+1}{j+1} \sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h+1} X^h + \binom{l}{j} \sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h} X^h}{\sum_h \binom{j+1+h}{2h+1} X^h}.$$

For completeness, we mention another recent paper about matrices and Fibonacci polynomials: [1].

## References

- [1] M. Andelic, Z. Du, C.M. da Fonseca, and E. Kilic. A matrix approach to some second-order difference equations with sign-alternating coefficients. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, DOI: 10.1080/10236198.2019.1709180.
- [2] G. E. Andrews. *The theory of partitions*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2.
- [3] G. E. Andrews. Fibonacci numbers and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 42(1):3–19, 2004.
- [4] J. Cigler. Some algebraic aspects of Morse code sequences. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 6(1):55–68, 2003.
- [5] J. Cigler. Some remarks on generalized Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, arXiv:1912.06651 (2019), 22 pages.
- [6] N. G. de Bruijn, D. E. Knuth, and S. O. Rice. The average height of planted plane trees. In *Graph theory and computing*, pages 15–22. Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [7] S. B. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger. D. H. Lehmer's Tridiagonal determinant: An Etude in (Andrews-Inspired) Experimental Mathematics. *Ann. Comb.*, 23 (2019) 717–724, 2019.
- [8] B. Hackl, C. Heuberger, H. Prodinger, and S. Wagner. Analysis of bidirectional ballot sequences and random walks ending in their maximum. *Ann. Comb.*, 20(4):775–797, 2016.
- [9] M. Ismail, H. Prodinger, and D. Stanton, Schur's determinants and Partition Theorems. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* 44 (2000), paper B44a, 10 pp.
- [10] D. E. Knuth. *Selected papers on analysis of algorithms*, volume 102 of *CSLI Lecture Notes*. CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA, 2000.
- [11] P. Kirschenhofer and J. Thuswaldner, Distribution results on polynomials with bounded roots. *Monatsh. Math.* (2018) 185:689–715.
- [12] D. H. Lehmer. Combinatorial and cyclotomic properties of certain tridiagonal matrices. *Congr. Numer.*, X:53–74, 1974.
- [13] P. Paule and H. Prodinger. Fountains, histograms, and  $q$ -identities. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 6(1):101–106, 2003.
- [14] M. Petkovsek, H. S. Wilf, and D. Zeilberger. "A=B". A. K. Peters, 1996.
- [15] I. Schur. Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie und zur Theorie der Kettenbrüche. *S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl.*, pages 302–321, 1917. reprinted in: *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Vol. 2.